

CONFIDENTIAL

997093

3 Nov 65
RFDNPIC/P&DS/390/65
29 October 1965**MEMORANDUM FOR:** Chief, Procurement Division
Office of Logistics**THROUGH:** Chief, Support Staff**ATTENTION:** [REDACTED]**SUBJECT:** [REDACTED]**REFERENCE:** Enclosure 1, Letter From [REDACTED]
Special Projects Branch, Strategic Systems
Division, GSRADA, Fort Belvoir, Virginia,
Dated 4 October 1965.

1. The enclosure recommends approval of the revised test plan for the Contact Duplicating and Reseau Printer, Printer Number 1, being made for GSRADA under this contract.

2. It is requested that the contractor be notified that the test plan has been approved. This approval does not indicate any relaxation of the requirements for the printer due to a lack of detail in the test plan.

[REDACTED]
Assistant for Plans and Development**Enclosure:** Letter from GSRADA**Distribution:**

Original and 1 - Addressee

1 - Chief/SS

1 - [REDACTED]

1 - Project File/DB

1 - Chrono/DB

1 - Chrono/P&DS

Declass Review by NGA.

NPIC/P&DS/DB: [REDACTED]

(18 Oct 65)

CONFIDENTIAL



IN REPLY REFER TO

ENGGM-SS

4 October 1965

Dear Russell:

The revised test plan, dated June 1965, submitted by []
[] for the Contact Duplicating and Reseau Printer has been
reviewed by the technical monitors. The date on the revised test
plan should have been August rather than June.

As you know, the first test plan was received in July and
was reviewed in detail with [] personnel
by the technical monitors on 26 July 1965, at the [] facility.
At the conclusion of the 26 July meeting, it was agreed that the
test plan would be re-submitted containing considerable more
detail; however, this did not prove to be the case. The revised
copy contains some additional detail but not as much as expected.
This matter has been discussed by []
[] and the technical monitors.

It is the belief of the technical monitors that []
[] personnel understand the degree of testing ex-
pected by the Government and that it is unnecessary to require
additional test detail from [] at the possible expense of
increasing the expected overrun for the contract delivery date.
Therefore, it is recommended that the test plan as submitted be
approved by the Contracting Officer.

Sincerely yours,

[]

Special Projects Branch
Strategic Systems Division